

ACHA D1 Women's Hockey Rankings



Includes scores through February 1, 2026.

Maximum Goal Differential = 6

See Rankings Without Considering Goal Differential Below

Games decided in OT are now treated as 0.5 goal W/L as opposed to the full 1 goal win/loss.

To that end, the OTW and OTL columns are subsets of the totals in the W and L columns. OTW and OTL are used to calculate the adjustment (OTAdj) needed for games decided in OT.

RANK	TEAM	W	L	T	OTW	OTL	GmPerf	Sched	OTAdj	Total	Last 5
1	Liberty University,	22	1	0	1	1	3.9	8.2	0.00	12.11	12.98
2	Midland University,	22	4	3	2	1	2.7	8.5	-0.02	11.14	11.34
3	Maryville University,	14	9	0	0	0	1.4	9.2	0.00	10.60	9.81
4	Minot State University,	13	6	7	0	1	0.8	9.8	0.02	10.57	11.74
5	McKendree University,	16	7	1	1	0	1.2	9.2	-0.02	10.47	10.30
6	Adrian College,	17	10	2	1	2	1.5	8.3	0.02	9.83	10.18
7	University of Michigan-Dearborn,	23	3	0	1	1	2.6	7.1	0.00	9.67	8.92
8	University of Jamestown,	6	14	1	2	1	-0.4	9.3	-0.02	8.90	8.70
9	Niagara University,	7	9	2	0	0	-0.2	8.8	0.00	8.62	8.15
10	Arizona State University,	10	7	1	0	1	0.6	8.0	0.03	8.61	8.67
11	Miami University,	13	6	1	1	1	1.2	6.9	0.00	8.08	7.87
12	Indiana Tech,	12	16	0	3	1	-0.4	8.3	-0.04	7.87	9.09
13	University of Massachusetts-Amherst,	13	7	2	2	0	0.4	7.2	-0.05	7.61	8.64
14	Michigan State University,	15	7	1	1	1	0.9	6.3	0.00	7.17	6.85
15	University of Michigan,	10	9	1	0	2	0.5	6.5	0.05	7.06	6.37
16	University of Colorado,	11	10	1	0	2	1.5	5.2	0.05	6.65	5.90
17	University of Arizona,	9	10	0	0	1	0.8	5.7	0.03	6.60	7.60
18	University of Utah,	15	6	1	0	0	1.1	5.5	0.00	6.60	6.96
19	Grand Canyon University,	13	9	1	1	0	1.1	5.3	-0.02	6.44	6.89
20	Lake Superior State University,	10	11	0	1	0	0.1	6.3	-0.02	6.44	5.54
21	Penn State University,	6	5	1	0	0	0.2	5.9	0.00	6.07	5.64
22	Grand Valley State University,	7	13	0	1	0	-0.8	6.7	-0.03	5.85	5.94
23	Montana State University,	11	4	0	0	0	2.2	3.2	0.00	5.36	5.95
24	Lindenwood University,	0	20	0	0	1	-5.0	10.0	0.03	5.11	4.59
25	University of Rhode Island,	5	12	0	1	1	-1.5	6.4	0.00	4.92	4.55
26	Eastern Michigan University,	7	14	0	0	0	-1.3	6.2	0.00	4.88	3.97
27	Colorado State University,	10	8	0	0	1	-0.1	4.8	0.03	4.81	4.33
28	University of Minnesota,	2	17	0	0	0	-3.6	7.6	0.00	3.95	2.71
29	University of Denver,	4	20	0	1	0	-2.6	5.1	-0.02	2.49	3.23
30	University of Wyoming,	5	12	0	0	1	-1.8	3.9	0.03	2.12	2.74
31	Davenport University,	2	11	1	0	0	-3.1	4.9	0.00	1.87	3.20
32	Aquinas College,	0	19	1	0	0	-4.6	5.7	0.00	1.14	0.49
33	University of New Mexico,	0	8	0	0	0	-5.5	5.6	0.00	0.07	0.60
34	University of Washington,	0	6	0	0	0	-6.0	4.3	0.00	-1.73	-1.93

Maximum Goal Differential = 1 (i.e. just considers W, L, T and schedule strength)

Division 1

RANK	TEAM	W	L	T	OTW	OTL	GmPerf	Sched	OTAdj	Total	Last 5
1	Liberty University,	22	1	0	1	1	0.9	7.3	0.00	8.20	8.49
2	Midland University,	22	4	3	2	1	0.6	7.3	-0.02	7.89	7.79
3	McKendree University,	16	7	1	1	0	0.4	7.5	-0.02	7.88	7.77
4	Minot State University,	13	6	7	0	1	0.3	7.6	0.02	7.87	8.10
5	University of Michigan-Dearborn,	23	3	0	1	1	0.8	7.0	0.00	7.79	7.65
6	Maryville University,	14	9	0	0	0	0.2	7.5	0.00	7.75	7.48
7	Adrian College,	17	10	2	1	2	0.2	7.3	0.02	7.55	7.48
8	Arizona State University,	10	7	1	0	1	0.2	7.2	0.03	7.40	7.43
9	Niagara University,	7	9	2	0	0	-0.1	7.5	0.00	7.34	7.40
10	Miami University,	13	6	1	1	1	0.3	7.0	0.00	7.32	7.09
11	University of Massachusetts-Amherst,	13	7	2	2	0	0.3	7.1	-0.05	7.30	7.74
12	Michigan State University,	15	7	1	1	1	0.3	6.8	0.00	7.14	7.04
13	University of Jamestown,	6	14	1	2	1	-0.4	7.5	-0.02	7.12	6.86
14	Indiana Tech,	12	16	0	3	1	-0.1	7.3	-0.04	7.10	7.76
15	University of Utah,	15	6	1	0	0	0.4	6.6	0.00	7.03	7.12
16	University of Michigan,	10	9	1	0	2	0.1	6.9	0.05	7.02	6.81
17	Penn State University,	6	5	1	0	0	0.1	6.8	0.00	6.89	6.96
18	Lake Superior State University,	10	11	0	1	0	-0.0	6.9	-0.02	6.80	6.57
19	Lindenwood University,	0	20	0	0	1	-1.0	7.7	0.03	6.75	6.60
20	Grand Canyon University,	13	9	1	1	0	0.2	6.6	-0.02	6.73	7.50
21	University of Colorado,	11	10	1	0	2	0.0	6.6	0.05	6.72	6.24
22	Montana State University,	11	4	0	0	0	0.5	6.2	0.00	6.70	6.77
23	University of Arizona,	9	10	0	0	1	-0.1	6.7	0.03	6.70	6.65
24	Colorado State University,	10	8	0	0	1	0.1	6.5	0.03	6.63	6.71
25	Grand Valley State University,	7	13	0	1	0	-0.3	6.9	-0.03	6.61	6.99
26	Eastern Michigan University,	7	14	0	0	0	-0.3	6.8	0.00	6.50	6.18
27	University of Rhode Island,	5	12	0	1	1	-0.4	6.9	0.00	6.47	6.07
28	University of Minnesota,	2	17	0	0	0	-0.8	7.1	0.00	6.27	5.97
29	University of Wyoming,	5	12	0	0	1	-0.4	6.3	0.03	5.95	6.26
30	University of Denver,	4	20	0	1	0	-0.7	6.6	-0.02	5.90	6.06
31	Davenport University,	2	11	1	0	0	-0.6	6.5	0.00	5.89	6.06
32	Aquinas College,	0	19	1	0	0	-0.9	6.7	0.00	5.77	5.54
33	University of New Mexico,	0	8	0	0	0	-1.0	6.6	0.00	5.57	5.55
34	University of Washington,	0	6	0	0	0	-1.0	6.4	0.00	5.44	5.40

- * in place of rank indicates team whose rank is based on fewer than 3 scores.

ACHA D1 Women's Hockey Rankings

The program starts all teams with an equal arbitrary value and then adjusts them all recursively based on game scores until the lowest cumulative error between expected goal differentials and actual goal differentials is reached (convergence). One effect often noticed is that a team's rating can change slightly without playing due to previous opponents playing which affects their rating and their opponent's rating, etc.

The Total column is in goal units and the difference in this value between any two teams is the predicted goal differential for a game played at a neutral site between those two teams.

It should be noted that these rankings are an average performance value for each team for the entire season, all games are equally weighted, so a team's latest game may make up only 1/40th of a team's rating at the end of the season.

Games that go to shootouts for decision are considered ties for ratings purposes.

Actually, there are two different rankings listed below. The first is as described above with the maximum goal differential used per game set at 7.

This ordering ends up similar to [CCHP](#), although differences do arise due to CCHP considering home ice advantage and CCHP not using a goal differential limit.

The second ranking sets maximum goal differential at 1, thereby just considering whether the game was won, lost, or tied (and strength of opponent). Note that the Total column in the GD=1 ranking is therefore in win percentage units rather than goal units. A rated .01 ahead of B can be interpreted as saying that if these two teams played exclusively against each other, A's predicted winning percentage is 1 percentage point higher than B's (i.e. A's predicted winning percentage vs. B would be .495, B's against A would be .505). However, since the teams do not play exclusively against the other it is actually a little bit more complex than above as teams can be ranked as high as 1.0 above their average opponent (if they were undefeated and untied) and as low as 1.0 below their average opponent (if they lost all of their games).

Not surprisingly, the ordering ends up very similar to [KRACH](#).

Currently, teams with as few as 3 scores are ranked. The more games that go into a team's ranking, the more accurate their ranking will be.

Links to other ratings:

[High School Rankings for 25 States](#) [High School National Rankings](#) [New England Prep Midget Minor AAA](#) [Midget Major AAA](#) [Men's NCAA D1](#) [USHL](#) [NAHL](#) [ACHA College Club](#)

[USHSO.com High School Ice Hockey Forums](#)

Over 4000 registered members, HS message boards for over 30 states + midget, junior, college, and pro hockey forums!