

Maximum Goal Differential = 7

Division 1

RANK	TEAM	W	L	T	GmPerf	Sched	Total	Last 5
1,	Nevada-Las Vegas, _____	5	0	0	2.8	10.1	12.89	12.89
2,	Minot State, _____	8	0	0	3.5	9.2	12.68	12.52
3,	Adrian, _____	11	1	1	4.1	8.3	12.42	12.85
4,	Ohio, _____	10	2	0	3.8	7.3	11.09	12.07
5,	Liberty, _____	6	4	1	1.4	9.7	11.06	10.21
6,	Calvin, _____	10	0	2	4.7	6.4	11.02	10.54
7,	Central Oklahoma, _____	11	2	0	2.7	7.9	10.56	12.12
8,	Indiana Tech, _____	9	1	2	2.7	7.8	10.53	10.69
9,	Arizona State, _____	6	4	0	0.9	9.5	10.37	9.47
10,	Jamestown, _____	6	4	0	1.9	8.0	9.91	9.32
11,	Lawrence Tech, _____	9	1	3	3.1	6.6	9.66	8.43
12,	Grand Valley State, _____	10	3	1	2.4	7.3	9.62	10.14
13,	Niagara, _____	6	3	0	2.4	7.1	9.59	9.49
14,	Utah, _____	4	5	1	-0.1	9.7	9.58	10.28
15,	Pittsburgh, _____	6	4	2	0.8	8.6	9.38	7.98
16,	Arizona, _____	5	4	2	0.4	9.0	9.33	10.87
17,	Maryville, _____	6	3	3	1.3	7.8	9.16	8.80
18,	Stony Brook, _____	7	3	0	1.5	7.4	8.93	9.33
19,	Colorado State, _____	5	4	1	1.3	7.6	8.89	9.39
20,	Illinois State, _____	9	2	3	1.7	7.1	8.79	8.09
21,	Michigan-Dearborn, _____	6	4	3	1.2	7.5	8.77	8.27
22,	Rhode Island, _____	7	3	2	0.4	8.1	8.52	9.16
23,	Oswego State, _____	9	2	2	1.8	6.6	8.34	9.40
24,	Delaware, _____	6	5	1	1.1	7.1	8.20	9.35
25,	Purdue Northwest, _____	4	2	2	2.0	6.1	8.07	7.51
26,	Grand Canyon, _____	3	5	1	-1.4	9.4	7.91	6.30
27,	Missouri State, _____	6	5	1	1.3	6.5	7.85	7.77
28,	Indiana Pennsylvania, _____	10	1	1	2.3	5.5	7.84	7.39
29,	Concordia Ann Arbor, _____	6	6	0	0.9	6.8	7.77	7.70
30,	Oregon, _____	1	2	1	-0.5	8.1	7.63	
31,	Aquinas, _____	4	5	3	-0.9	8.5	7.58	8.80
32,	Navy, _____	8	5	1	0.5	6.8	7.30	8.13
33,	West Chester, _____	6	4	3	1.2	5.7	6.89	6.89
34,	Robert Morris, _____	7	5	1	0.5	6.3	6.88	7.17
35,	William Paterson, _____	9	2	2	1.8	4.8	6.62	6.07
36,	Davenport, _____	0	8	2	-3.1	9.6	6.48	5.82
37,	Drexel, _____	3	5	6	-0.4	6.9	6.43	5.35
38,	Villanova, _____	6	2	1	2.2	4.1	6.34	5.75
39,	New York, _____	2	5	3	-0.8	7.0	6.18	5.13
40,	Buffalo, _____	7	3	1	0.9	5.2	6.14	6.33
41,	McKendree, _____	2	7	3	-1.4	7.5	6.09	8.17
42,	Alabama, _____	4	7	1	-1.0	7.0	6.04	7.15
43,	Colorado, _____	6	5	1	-1.2	7.1	5.88	4.74
44,	John Carroll, _____	6	6	1	-0.5	6.3	5.87	7.28
45,	Midland, _____	2	8	0	-2.6	8.5	5.85	5.23
46,	West Virginia, _____	6	8	1	-1.3	7.0	5.67	5.80

47,Cleary,_____ 0 10 2, -3.2, 8.8, 5.60, 6.32
 48,Oklahoma,_____ 2 4 1, -1.0, 6.3, 5.30, 4.81
 49,Roosevelt,_____ 4 6 0, -3.5, 8.7, 5.21, 5.21
 50,Canisius,_____ 5 6 2, -0.9, 6.1, 5.18, 4.54
 51,Duquesne,_____ 6 7 1, 0.2, 4.9, 5.11, 7.15
 52,Kent State,_____ 3 4 1, -0.6, 5.7, 5.08, 4.04
 53,Rochester Inst of Tech,_____ 5 7 1, -0.3, 5.3, 4.95, 4.67
 54,Syracuse,_____ 3 9 2, -2.1, 7.0, 4.90, 5.23
 55,Toledo,_____ 3 4 1, 0.0, 4.9, 4.86, 4.55
 56,Temple,_____ 5 8 0, -0.3, 5.0, 4.69, 5.12
 57,Waldorf,_____ 1 6 2, -2.9, 7.4, 4.56, 4.53
 58,Illinois,_____ 0 9 1, -4.8, 9.2, 4.36, 5.53
 59,George Mason,_____ 2 7 4, -1.6, 5.6, 4.00, 4.50
 60,Western Michigan,_____ 3 6 0, -1.0, 5.0, 3.98, 2.93
 61,Oakland,_____ 1 8 0, -4.8, 8.4, 3.62, 4.40
 62,Mercyhurst,_____ 2 8 0, -2.8, 6.3, 3.52, 4.72
 63,San Diego State,_____ 0 6 1, -3.6, 6.7, 3.11, 3.00
 64,Lehigh,_____ 2 6 0, -2.5, 5.5, 3.00, 2.03
 65,Rochester,_____ 1 11 0, -4.2, 7.1, 2.91, 3.15
 66,New Jersey,_____ 3 8 1, -1.9, 4.8, 2.86, 4.32
 67,Rutgers,_____ 0 7 0, -3.7, 6.5, 2.81, 2.19
 68,Towson,_____ 1 7 2, -2.2, 4.7, 2.52, 2.36
 69,Eastern Michigan,_____ 2 5 0, -2.3, 4.0, 1.76, 2.38
 70,Northern Illinois,_____ 0 10 0, -5.4, 6.7, 1.34, 2.32

Maximum Goal Differential = 1 (i.e. just considers W, L, T and schedule strength)

Division 1

RANK	TEAM	W	L	T	GmPerf	Sched	Total	Last 5
1	Nevada-Las Vegas,	5	0	0	1.0	7.9	8.88	8.88
2	Minot State,	8	0	0	1.0	7.4	8.40	8.28
3	Adrian,	11	1	1	0.8	7.3	8.02	8.21
4	Arizona State,	6	4	0	0.2	7.8	7.97	7.45
5	Central Oklahoma,	11	2	0	0.7	7.3	7.95	8.13
6	Liberty,	6	4	1	0.2	7.6	7.76	7.64
7	Utah,	4	5	1	-0.1	7.8	7.74	7.86
8	Ohio,	10	2	0	0.7	7.1	7.73	8.06
9	Rhode Island,	7	3	2	0.3	7.3	7.65	7.86
10	Oswego State,	9	2	2	0.5	7.1	7.63	7.69
11	Indiana Tech,	9	1	2	0.7	6.9	7.61	7.67
12	Calvin,	10	0	2	0.8	6.7	7.58	7.43
13	Arizona,	5	4	2	0.1	7.4	7.54	8.09
14	Jamestown,	6	4	0	0.2	7.3	7.52	7.20
15	Pittsburgh,	6	4	2	0.2	7.4	7.52	7.06
16	Indiana Pennsylvania,	10	1	1	0.8	6.8	7.51	7.41
17	Stony Brook,	7	3	0	0.4	7.1	7.48	7.31
18	Illinois State,	9	2	3	0.5	7.0	7.46	7.50
19	Grand Valley State,	10	3	1	0.5	7.0	7.46	7.47
20	Maryville,	6	3	3	0.3	7.2	7.42	7.21
21	Grand Canyon,	3	5	1	-0.2	7.6	7.41	7.03
22	Lawrence Tech,	9	1	3	0.6	6.7	7.36	7.26
23	Oregon,	1	2	1	-0.3	7.6	7.30	
24	Colorado State,	5	4	1	0.1	7.2	7.29	7.33
25	Niagara,	6	3	0	0.3	6.9	7.24	7.47
26	Navy,	8	5	1	0.2	7.0	7.21	7.57
27	Delaware,	6	5	1	0.1	7.1	7.15	7.49
28	Colorado,	6	5	1	0.1	7.0	7.13	6.84
29	William Paterson,	9	2	2	0.5	6.6	7.10	6.99
30	Michigan-Dearborn,	6	4	3	0.2	6.9	7.10	7.04
31	Missouri State,	6	5	1	0.1	7.0	7.10	7.23
32	Robert Morris,	7	5	1	0.2	6.9	7.10	7.15
33	Buffalo,	7	3	1	0.4	6.7	7.06	7.11
34	Aquinas,	4	5	3	-0.1	7.1	7.03	7.25
35	West Chester,	6	4	3	0.2	6.8	7.00	7.08
36	Purdue Northwest,	4	2	2	0.3	6.7	6.98	6.81
37	Villanova,	6	2	1	0.4	6.5	6.91	6.70
38	West Virginia,	6	8	1	-0.1	7.0	6.91	6.91
39	Canisius,	5	6	2	-0.1	6.9	6.85	6.80
40	Alabama,	4	7	1	-0.3	7.1	6.81	7.25
41	Midland,	2	8	0	-0.6	7.4	6.79	6.59
42	Concordia Ann Arbor,	6	6	0	0.0	6.8	6.79	6.92
43	John Carroll,	6	6	1	0.0	6.8	6.79	7.15
44	Roosevelt,	4	6	0	-0.5	7.3	6.75	6.60
45	Drexel,	3	5	6	-0.1	6.9	6.75	6.28
46	Davenport,	0	8	2	-0.8	7.5	6.71	6.69
47	McKendree,	2	7	3	-0.4	7.1	6.71	7.27

48,Oklahoma,_____ 2 4 1, -0.3, 6.9, 6.65, 6.39
 49,New York,_____ 2 5 3, -0.3, 6.9, 6.65, 6.45
 50,Syracuse,_____ 3 9 2, -0.4, 7.0, 6.61, 6.72
 51,Kent State,_____ 3 4 1, -0.1, 6.7, 6.56, 6.39
 52,Waldorf,_____ 1 6 2, -0.6, 7.1, 6.50, 6.37
 53,Duquesne,_____ 6 7 1, -0.1, 6.6, 6.49, 6.90
 54,Rochester Inst of Tech,_____ 5 7 1, -0.2, 6.6, 6.46, 6.39
 55,Illinois,_____ 0 9 1, -0.9, 7.3, 6.44, 6.70
 56,George Mason,_____ 2 7 4, -0.4, 6.8, 6.42, 6.49
 57,Temple,_____ 5 8 0, -0.2, 6.6, 6.37, 6.55
 58,Oakland,_____ 1 8 0, -0.8, 7.1, 6.35, 6.41
 59,Mercyhurst,_____ 2 8 0, -0.6, 6.9, 6.32, 6.62
 60,Toledo,_____ 3 4 1, -0.1, 6.4, 6.31, 6.21
 61,Cleary,_____ 0 10 2, -0.8, 7.1, 6.29, 6.28
 62,Lehigh,_____ 2 6 0, -0.5, 6.7, 6.22, 5.87
 63,Western Michigan,_____ 3 6 0, -0.3, 6.5, 6.21, 5.68
 64,San Diego State,_____ 0 6 1, -0.9, 7.0, 6.17, 6.18
 65,New Jersey,_____ 3 8 1, -0.4, 6.5, 6.12, 6.62
 66,Rochester,_____ 1 11 0, -0.8, 6.8, 6.04, 6.03
 67,Eastern Michigan,_____ 2 5 0, -0.4, 6.4, 5.94, 6.02
 68,Towson,_____ 1 7 2, -0.6, 6.5, 5.94, 5.83
 69,Northern Illinois,_____ 0 10 0, -1.0, 6.9, 5.86, 6.12
 70,Rutgers,_____ 0 7 0, -1.0, 6.8, 5.82, 5.71

The program starts all teams with an equal arbitrary value and then adjusts them all recursively based on game scores until the lowest cumulative error between expected goal differentials and actual goal differentials is reached (convergence). One effect often noticed is that a team's rating can change slightly without playing due to previous opponents playing which affects their rating and their opponent's rating, etc.

The Total column is in goal units and the difference in this value between any two teams is the predicted goal differential for a game played at a neutral site between those two teams.

It should be noted that these rankings are an average performance value for each team for the entire season, all games are equally weighted, so a team's latest game may make up only 1/40th of a team's rating at the end of the season.

Actually, there are two different rankings listed below. The first is as described above with the maximum goal differential used per game set at 7.

This ordering ends up similar to [CCHP](#), although differences do arise due to CCHP considering home ice advantage and CCHP not using a goal differential limit.

The second ranking sets maximum goal differential at 1, thereby just considering whether the game was won, lost, or tied (and strength of opponent). Note that the Total column in the GD=1 ranking is therefore in win percentage units rather than goal units. A rated .01 ahead of B can be interpreted as saying that if these two teams played exclusively against each other, A's predicted winning percentage is 1 percentage point higher than B's (i.e. A's predicted winning percentage vs. B would be .495, B's against A would be .505). However, since the teams do not play exclusively against the other it is actually a little bit more complex than above as teams can be ranked as high as 1.0 above their average opponent (if they were undefeated and untied) and as low as 1.0 below their average opponent (if they lost all of their games).