

# ACHA College Hockey Rankings



Scores through February 11, 2024.

Maximum Goal Differential = 7

See Rankings Without Considering Goal Differential Below

Division 1

Division 1

RANK	TEAM	W	L	T	GmPerf	Sched	Total	Last 5
1	Minot State	24	6	3	2.5	9.4	11.94	10.97
2	Adrian	24	4	1	3.5	8.2	11.72	10.16
3	Jamestown	21	8	1	2.6	8.9	11.46	11.95
4	Central Oklahoma	22	5	4	2.4	8.8	11.11	9.56
5	Nevada-Las Vegas	19	6	4	1.8	9.2	11.07	10.63
6	Liberty	14	7	5	0.9	9.6	10.53	10.80
7	Ohio	20	7	6	1.6	8.8	10.43	10.64
8	Maryville	18	9	5	0.6	9.5	10.13	10.19
9	Mary	21	11	1	1.3	8.7	9.99	10.34
10	Niagara	17	7	2	1.7	8.1	9.74	9.33
11	Purdue Northwest	25	5	1	2.6	6.7	9.33	9.78
12	Arizona	12	13	2	0.4	8.8	9.21	8.60
13	Calvin	18	7	4	2.2	7.0	9.15	8.56
14	Indiana Tech	23	10	1	2.2	6.9	9.06	10.05
15	Utah	11	10	5	0.0	8.9	8.92	9.08
16	Missouri State	11	14	4	0.0	8.8	8.80	8.45
17	Grand Valley State	16	7	6	1.3	7.5	8.79	9.20
18	Grand Canyon	16	13	2	-0.1	8.7	8.65	11.15
19	Illinois State	20	10	3	0.4	8.2	8.58	9.64
20	Pittsburgh	16	12	3	0.9	7.6	8.49	7.53
21	Arizona State	9	17	4	-0.3	8.6	8.37	7.67
22	Colorado	10	10	4	-0.1	8.2	8.09	8.20
23	Oklahoma	13	10	3	0.2	7.9	8.08	7.82
24	Delaware	11	8	7	0.3	7.7	8.03	7.53
25	Iowa State	10	8	0	0.3	7.7	7.99	7.63
26	Lawrence Tech	17	10	3	1.5	6.3	7.82	8.33
27	Michigan-Dearborn	17	9	4	1.5	6.2	7.75	6.31
28	Stony Brook	5	13	5	-0.7	8.4	7.71	7.39
29	West Chester	20	4	4	2.2	5.4	7.63	8.51
30	Colorado State	9	14	8	-0.9	8.5	7.60	6.70
31	McKendree	16	13	0	0.1	7.5	7.58	8.38
32	Rhode Island	15	10	6	0.6	6.8	7.46	8.06
33	Indiana Pennsylvania	19	7	3	1.7	5.8	7.46	7.12
34	Midland	10	18	3	-0.9	8.3	7.41	7.53
35	John Carroll	18	7	5	1.6	5.7	7.23	7.72
36	Syracuse	11	12	3	-0.1	7.3	7.19	6.97
37	Davenport	17	12	3	0.1	6.9	7.00	7.09
38	Oswego State	18	9	4	0.8	6.2	6.98	6.61
39	Concordia Ann Arbor	11	15	4	0.0	6.4	6.44	6.26
40	Aquinas	14	16	5	-0.5	6.7	6.19	6.19
41	Illinois	5	12	2	-1.1	7.3	6.16	5.90
42	Kent State	18	12	4	1.0	5.1	6.13	6.18
43	George Mason	17	5	5	1.1	5.0	6.09	6.39
44	San Diego State	6	14	2	-2.0	8.1	6.08	7.32
45	New York	10	6	4	0.1	6.0	6.04	6.53
46	Buffalo	14	8	4	1.2	4.7	5.91	6.00
47	Drexel	6	14	8	-1.3	7.1	5.83	7.50
48	Roosevelt	8	13	6	-0.8	6.4	5.59	5.09
49	Waldorf	2	21	4	-3.4	8.9	5.57	5.34
50	Robert Morris	11	14	4	-0.4	6.0	5.53	4.47
51	Cleary	13	19	2	-0.4	5.9	5.51	5.48
52	William Paterson	15	12	3	0.4	5.1	5.49	6.61

53, Toledo	6	16	4,	-1.4,	6.9,	5.49,	7.10
54, Lehigh	13	6	6,	0.7,	4.8,	5.46,	5.18
55, West Virginia	8	16	3,	-0.5,	5.9,	5.40,	4.67
56, Oregon	3	14	2,	-1.9,	7.0,	5.10,	2.93
57, Villanova	12	9	4,	0.0,	5.0,	5.06,	5.52
58, Western Michigan	7	17	4,	-1.1,	6.0,	4.92,	5.41
59, Canisius	8	16	4,	-0.8,	5.6,	4.90,	5.61
60, Alabama	1	17	1,	-3.3,	8.1,	4.80,	4.91
61, Navy	6	12	3,	-1.0,	5.7,	4.63,	4.60
62, Temple	10	12	2,	0.0,	4.5,	4.53,	3.87
63, Mercyhurst	11	12	4,	-0.3,	4.6,	4.27,	5.16
64, Northern Illinois	1	29	3,	-3.3,	7.5,	4.20,	4.38
65, Rochester Inst of Tech	7	11	7,	-1.4,	4.8,	3.42,	2.43
66, Duquesne	5	19	4,	-2.1,	5.4,	3.27,	3.98
67, Rutgers	5	13	4,	-1.6,	4.8,	3.16,	2.48
68, New Jersey	3	21	1,	-2.6,	5.2,	2.61,	1.69
69, Towson	3	19	1,	-2.9,	5.2,	2.32,	3.32
70, Rochester	0	28	0,	-5.1,	6.9,	1.78,	1.98
71, Oakland	1	25	1,	-5.2,	5.7,	0.49,	-0.87
72, Eastern Michigan	1	19	1,	-4.9,	5.1,	0.16,	-0.37

Maximum Goal Differential = 1 (i.e. just considers W, L, T and schedule strength)

#### Division 1

RANK	TEAM	W	L	T	GmPerf	Sched	Total	Last 5
1, Minot State	24	6	3,	0.5,	7.5,	8.04,	7.77	
2, Adrian	24	4	1,	0.7,	7.3,	7.93,	7.78	
3, Central Oklahoma	22	5	4,	0.5,	7.4,	7.90,	7.53	
4, Nevada-Las Vegas	19	6	4,	0.4,	7.4,	7.86,	7.97	
5, Liberty	14	7	5,	0.3,	7.6,	7.85,	8.10	
6, Jamestown	21	8	1,	0.4,	7.4,	7.83,	8.06	
7, Maryville	18	9	5,	0.3,	7.6,	7.83,	7.79	
8, Ohio	20	7	6,	0.4,	7.4,	7.80,	8.00	
9, Niagara	17	7	2,	0.4,	7.3,	7.64,	7.57	
10, Mary	21	11	1,	0.3,	7.4,	7.61,	7.51	
11, Illinois State	20	10	3,	0.3,	7.2,	7.53,	7.84	
12, Purdue Northwest	25	5	1,	0.6,	6.9,	7.52,	7.81	
13, Grand Valley State	16	7	6,	0.3,	7.1,	7.42,	7.57	
14, Utah	11	10	5,	0.0,	7.3,	7.37,	7.22	
15, Grand Canyon	16	13	2,	0.1,	7.3,	7.36,	8.33	
16, Calvin	18	7	4,	0.4,	7.0,	7.36,	7.32	
17, Delaware	11	8	7,	0.1,	7.2,	7.33,	7.05	
18, Pittsburgh	16	12	3,	0.1,	7.2,	7.30,	6.86	
19, Arizona	12	13	2,	-0.0,	7.3,	7.30,	7.02	
20, West Chester	20	4	4,	0.6,	6.7,	7.28,	7.35	
21, Missouri State	11	14	4,	-0.1,	7.4,	7.27,	7.10	
22, Indiana Tech	23	10	1,	0.4,	6.9,	7.25,	7.48	
23, Iowa State	10	8	0,	0.1,	7.1,	7.25,	7.02	
24, Oklahoma	13	10	3,	0.1,	7.1,	7.23,	7.58	
25, Indiana Pennsylvania	19	7	3,	0.4,	6.8,	7.20,	7.15	
26, McKendree	16	13	0,	0.1,	7.1,	7.19,	7.44	
27, Rhode Island	15	10	6,	0.2,	7.0,	7.19,	7.60	
28, Colorado	10	10	4,	0.0,	7.2,	7.19,	6.97	
29, Oswego State	18	9	4,	0.3,	6.9,	7.14,	7.20	
30, Davenport	17	12	3,	0.2,	6.9,	7.10,	7.07	
31, Colorado State	9	14	8,	-0.2,	7.3,	7.10,	6.80	
32, Syracuse	11	12	3,	-0.0,	7.1,	7.09,	7.01	
33, John Carroll	18	7	5,	0.4,	6.7,	7.07,	7.55	
34, George Mason	17	5	5,	0.4,	6.6,	7.07,	7.12	
35, New York	10	6	4,	0.2,	6.8,	7.04,	6.83	
36, Stony Brook	5	13	5,	-0.3,	7.4,	7.04,	7.10	
37, Arizona State	9	17	4,	-0.3,	7.3,	7.04,	7.04	
38, Midland	10	18	3,	-0.3,	7.3,	7.02,	6.91	
39, Michigan-Dearborn	17	9	4,	0.3,	6.7,	6.99,	6.45	
40, Lawrence Tech	17	10	3,	0.2,	6.7,	6.96,	7.21	
41, Lehigh	13	6	6,	0.3,	6.6,	6.86,	6.83	
42, Drexel	6	14	8,	-0.3,	7.1,	6.84,	7.29	
43, Buffalo	14	8	4,	0.2,	6.6,	6.81,	6.72	
44, William Paterson	15	12	3,	0.1,	6.7,	6.79,	7.00	
45, Kent State	18	12	4,	0.2,	6.6,	6.78,	6.60	
46, San Diego State	6	14	2,	-0.4,	7.1,	6.78,	7.09	
47, Villanova	12	9	4,	0.1,	6.7,	6.77,	7.14	
48, Aquinas	14	16	5,	-0.1,	6.8,	6.76,	6.70	
49, Robert Morris	11	14	4,	-0.1,	6.8,	6.73,	6.55	
50, Illinois	5	12	2,	-0.4,	7.1,	6.70,	6.28	
51, Roosevelt	8	13	6,	-0.2,	6.9,	6.69,	6.53	
52, Waldorf	2	21	4,	-0.7,	7.4,	6.68,	6.75	
53, Toledo	6	16	4,	-0.4,	7.0,	6.65,	6.60	
54, Concordia Ann Arbor	11	15	4,	-0.1,	6.8,	6.63,	6.56	
55, West Virginia	8	16	3,	-0.3,	6.8,	6.54,	6.35	

56,Navy	6	12	3,	-0.3,	6.8,	6.53,	6.68
57,Canisius	8	16	4,	-0.3,	6.8,	6.52,	6.69
58,Cleary	13	19	2,	-0.2,	6.7,	6.50,	6.56
59,Mercyhurst	11	12	4,	-0.0,	6.5,	6.48,	6.69
60,Western Michigan	7	17	4,	-0.4,	6.8,	6.46,	6.63
61,Rochester Inst of Tech	7	11	7,	-0.2,	6.6,	6.46,	6.07
62,Temple	10	12	2,	-0.1,	6.5,	6.46,	6.09
63,Alabama	1	17	1,	-0.8,	7.2,	6.39,	6.21
64,Oregon	3	14	2,	-0.6,	7.0,	6.38,	6.12
65,Northern Illinois	1	29	3,	-0.8,	7.1,	6.26,	6.33
66,Rutgers	5	13	4,	-0.4,	6.6,	6.22,	6.04
67,Duquesne	5	19	4,	-0.5,	6.7,	6.21,	6.20
68,Towson	3	19	1,	-0.7,	6.7,	6.00,	6.52
69,New Jersey	3	21	1,	-0.7,	6.7,	5.99,	5.67
70,Oakland	1	25	1,	-0.9,	6.7,	5.84,	5.61
71,Rochester	0	28	0,	-1.0,	6.8,	5.82,	5.90
72,Eastern Michigan	1	19	1,	-0.8,	6.6,	5.73,	5.69

The program starts all teams with an equal arbitrary value and then adjusts them all recursively based on game scores until the lowest cumulative error between expected goal differentials and actual goal differentials is reached (convergence). One effect often noticed is that a team's rating can change slightly without playing due to previous opponents playing which affects their rating and their opponent's rating, etc.

The Total column is in goal units and the difference in this value between any two teams is the predicted goal differential for a game played at a neutral site between those two teams.

It should be noted that these rankings are an average performance value for each team for the entire season, all games are equally weighted, so a team's latest game may make up only 1/40th of a teams rating at the end of the season.

Actually, there are two different rankings listed below. The first is as described above with the maximum goal differential used per game set at 7.

This ordering ends up similar to [CCHP](#), although differences do arise due to CCHP considering home ice advantage and CCHP not using a goal differential limit.

The second ranking sets maximum goal differential at 1, thereby just considering whether the game was won, lost, or tied (and strength of opponent). Note that the Total column in the GD=1 ranking is therefore in win percentage units rather than goal units. A rated .01 ahead of B can be interpreted as saying that if these two teams played exclusively against each other, A's predicted winning percentage is 1 percentage point higher than B's (i.e. A's predicted winning percentage vs. B would be .495, B's against A would be .505). However, since the teams do not play exclusively against the other it is actually a little bit more complex than above as teams can be ranked as high as 1.0 above their average opponent (if they were undefeated and untied) and as low as 1.0 below their average opponent (if they lost all of their games).

Not surprisingly, the ordering ends up very similar to [KRACH](#).

Currently, teams with as few as 3 scores are ranked. The more games that go into a team's ranking, the more accurate their ranking will be.

Links to other ratings:

[High School Rankings for 25 States](#) [High School National Rankings](#) [New England Prep](#)  
[Midget Minor AAA](#) [Midget Major AAA](#) [Men's NCAA D1](#) [USHL](#) [NAHL](#) [ACHA College](#)

## [USHSHO.com High School Ice Hockey Forums](#)

Over 4000 registered members, HS message boards for over 30 states + midget, junior, college, and pro hockey forums!

---

) U.S. High School Hockey Online. All rights reserved.